


Massachusetts Tobacco Control Program Fiscal Year 2007



Make smoking history.



Table of contents

- 1 Executive summary
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Youth access efforts in FY 2007
- 4 Status and accomplishments

Compliance checks - tobacco sales to minors

Tobacco retail store inspections and merchant education visits

Under 27 retailer kits

Massachusetts Retailer Data Management System (RDMS)

17 Appendices

Appendix A: Community funding status

Appendix B: Compliance checks in funded boards of health

Appendix C: Funded boards of health enforcement summary

Appendix D: Compliance checks in municipalities without

funded boards of health

Appendix E: Chain store sales summary

Appendix F: Retail store inspections and violations

Appendix G: Local license fees in Massachusetts cities/towns

Recent reports and updated information are available at www.mass.gov/dph/mtcp.

Executive Summary

assachusetts is committed to preventing the initiation of tobacco use among youth. According to 2002 Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) data, 90% of adult smokers smoked their first cigarette while they were teenagers and over 50% of adults started smoking regularly before the age of 18.

One of the determining factors in young people smoking is their ability to purchase tobacco. The Massachusetts Tobacco Control Program (MTCP) funds local programs to provide retailer education, conduct compliance checks, and enforce the law prohibiting sales of tobacco to anyone under the age of 18.

Illegal sales of tobacco to minors have been cut in half in Massachusetts, from 22.7% in Fiscal Year 2006 to 10.3% in Fiscal Year 2007.

This radical drop shows a vast improvement over a recent trend. Between FY 2003 and FY 2006, the rate of illegal sales of tobacco to minors in Massachusetts had increased from 11.6% to 22.7%. This spike in illegal sales began as MTCP's budget was reduced by 92% in FY 2003. MTCP went from funding over 300 local board of health programs to funding only 113 in July of 2003, leaving most municipalities with no one to conduct compliance checks to ensure that retailers did not sell tobacco to minors.

By FY 2007, funding had been restored to many of these local board of health tobacco control programs, resulting in coverage of 180 municipalities across the Commonwealth, protecting 74% of Massachusetts residents.

In FY 2007, board of health programs funded by MTCP completed 16,636 compliance checks to ensure that retailers did not sell tobacco to minors. In the 180 cities and towns with MTCP-funded programs, the illegal sales rate was 7.3%. In cities and towns without these programs, the illegal sales rate was twice as high, at 16.8%.

Penalties for the sale of tobacco products to minors are assessed and levied by local boards of health. These penalties include warnings, fines, and/or suspensions of local tobacco sales permits for repeat violations.

The federal Synar Amendment of 1997 requires all states to have laws in place that prohibit the sale and distribution of tobacco products to persons under the age of 18 and to enforce these laws effectively.

The Synar Amendment further requires that statewide compliance with tobacco sales laws must be at a rate of 80% or better in order to release block grant funding for prevention programs in the Bureau of Substance Abuse Services of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health.

Introduction

- Tobacco use is the leading cause of preventable death and illness in Massachusetts.
- More than 9,000 Massachusetts residents die each year from tobaccorelated causes, including cancers of the lung, larynx, esophagus, mouth, and other organs; heart disease and stroke; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD); and tobacco-related complications of other diseases, including diabetes, asthma, and other conditions.
- To reduce the public health costs of tobacco use, the Massachusetts Tobacco Control Program (MTCP) addresses four main goals:
 - Preventing young people from starting to smoke
 - Protecting children and adults from secondhand smoke
 - Helping current smokers to quit
 - Identifying and eliminating tobacco-related disparities

To achieve these goals, MTCP funds local and statewide programs, leads statewide initiatives in collaboration with partners, and conducts surveillance, research, and evaluation.

This report focuses on preventing youth access to tobacco products. Information about all MTCP activities is available in the MTCP Annual Report and at www.mass.gov/dph/mtcp.

Youth Access Efforts in FY 2007

n FY 2007, the Massachusetts Tobacco Control Program's (MTCP) efforts to prevent youth access to tobacco focused on:

- Conducting compliance checks to monitor retailer compliance with the state law that prohibits selling tobacco products to minors.
- Inspecting retail store environments to monitor compliance with state and local signage and permit requirements.
- Educating retail store managers and clerks about tobacco sales laws and distributing MTCP's new *Under* 27 retailer kits. The *Under* 27 campaign, launched in 2007, is an innovative retailer education and public awareness campaign that is the result of a partnership between the Department of Public Health and the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office.
- Developing and using Massachusetts' new Retailer Data Management System (RDMS) to obtain immediate and consistent data collection statewide.


Status and Accomplishments

Compliance Checks – Tobacco Sales to Minors

Between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2007 (FY 2007), programs funded by the Massachusetts Tobacco Control Program (MTCP) completed 18,103 tobacco compliance checks to assess compliance with regulations that prohibit the sale of tobacco to minors in Massachusetts. By definition, a compliance check is considered completed if a youth is able to enter an establishment, tobacco is available for sale, and the youth is able to ask to purchase the tobacco product.

All compliance checks are conducted using the detailed compliance check protocol of the Massachusetts Tobacco Control Program. Adult Supervisors and Youth Purchasers are trained using this protocol.

In FY 2007, 180 of the 351 cities and towns in Massachusetts received funding from MTCP to conduct regular compliance checks. In these 180 communities, penalties for the sale of tobacco products to minors are assessed and levied by local boards of health (BOH). These penalties include warnings, fines, and/or suspensions of local tobacco sales permits for repeat violations.



In 106 of the 171 communities that did not have MTCP-funded boards of health, Youth Access to Tobacco Prevention Programs and the Massachusetts Association of Health Boards (MAHB) conducted compliance checks for surveillance and education purposes. In general, no fines were imposed as a result of illegal sales in these communities.

For FY 2007 community funding status and program funding distribution, see Appendix A.

In FY 2007, MTCP-funded board of health programs completed 16,636 compliance check attempts which resulted in 1,208 illegal tobacco sales to minors.

As a result of the illegal tobacco sales, 1,043 fines were issued, totaling \$149,400, and 179 permit suspensions were issued by boards of health, for an average duration of 10.6 days.

The compliance rate was 92.7% in MTCP-funded communities. The illegal sales rate was 7.3%.

Appendix B contains a chart of compliance checks by municipalities with funded boards of health. Appendix C contains a summary of enforcement actions by funded boards of health.


In communities without MTCP-funded boards of health, the Massachusetts Association of Health Boards and five Youth Access to Tobacco Prevention Programs completed 1,467 compliance check attempts which resulted in 246 illegal sales.

The compliance rate was 83.2% in non-funded communities. The illegal sales rate was 16.8%.

Appendix D contains a chart of compliance checks by municipalities with unfunded boards of health.

FY 2007 Synar Results


ach year, Massachusetts is required to draw a random sample of the compliance checks conducted across the state to complete the annual federal Synar Report. The Synar Amendment requires states to conduct randomly selected, unannounced compliance checks with local retailers, during which underage youth attempt to purchase tobacco. States then submit an annual report that details the activities conducted to enforce youth access laws.



In FY 2007, 2,065 of the compliance checks conducted statewide were randomly selected and included in the Synar sample. The resulting weighted sales rate was 10.3%.

The 10.3% sales rate in FY 2007 was a significant decrease from the 22.7% Synar sales rate in FY 2006.

This dramatic decrease in illegal sales coincided with a substantial increase in MTCP-funded local programs that conduct regular tobacco retailer education and compliance checks.



Enforcement Data

In FY 2002, MTCP funded 307 board of health programs. In the summer of 2003, MTCP reduced the number of funded board of health programs to 105 after drastic budget cuts. As board of health programs were defunded or funding was reduced, substantially less enforcement of youth access regulations occurred statewide.

After slowly rebuilding the number of MTCP-funded local programs in FY 2005 and FY 2006, the level of enforcement of youth access regulations again increased. As the number of MTCP-funded board of health programs grew to 180 in FY 2007, the resulting increased level of statewide enforcement was a major factor in the overall lower illegal sales rates to minors.

MTCP-funded boards of health						
	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007		
Illegal sales	1,524	1,732	1,770	1,208		
Warnings	339	386	401	215		
Fines	1,025	1,290	1,302	1,060*		
Amount of fines	\$141,000	\$181,275	\$183,975	\$149,400*		
# of local permits suspended	99	283	268	179		
Total days of suspensions	861	2,770	3,882	1,903		

^{*}Note: Fines may not be collected in the same fiscal year as illegal sales occur.

Working with chain stores


In the past two years, MTCP has worked with the Massachusetts Attorney General's Office to educate chain store employees about tobacco sales laws. The Attorney General's office also levies fines and penalties on chain stores with high tobacco sales rates to minors. The majority of chain stores have seen a decrease in illegal sales to minors since FY 2005.


See Appendix E for a 4-year chain store sales rate comparison.

Compliance Check Data - What We Have Learned

The importance of asking for identification

The graphs below demonstrate the importance of retail clerks asking for identification. To reduce illegal sales to minors, retail clerks must be trained to ask for ID at all times when a customer appears to be under the age of 27.





The importance of educating store clerks about other types of tobacco products


In the FY 2007 compliance checks* completed by MTCP-funded board of health programs, the sales rates of some tobacco products were substantially higher than the cigarette sales rate of 7.8%. The sales rate for blunt wraps was 14.6% and the sales rate for cigars was 14.7%.


Retailers must train store clerks to recognize the different types of tobacco products sold in their store. Many clerks do not realize that blunt

wraps and little cigars are in fact tobacco products and that the sale of these products to minors is illegal.

More education is needed with certain types of stores

In FY 2007, sales rates continued to be higher at gas stations, gas mini-marts, convenience stores, and grocery stores. In these types of establishments, proprietors and local programs must continue to train employees and increase awareness of tobacco sales laws.








^{*}These compliance checks were conducted using a protocol other than Synar.

Sales rates depend on the age and gender of the clerk

Analysis of data indicates that illegal sales are highest with teen and young adult clerks. These age groups need targeted merchant education and additional training.







Tobacco Retail Store Inspections and Merchant Education Visits

In FY 2007, MTCP-funded board of health programs conducted 11,978 store inspections and/or merchant education visits resulting in 4,386 violations.

Types of violations included failure to have valid tobacco sales permit or required signs posted, presence of self-service displays, and violations of vending machine laws.

As a result of these violations, 2,729 warnings were issued to retailers. 62 of the violations resulted in fines totaling \$6,750.

In communities without MTCP-funded boards of health, Youth Access to Tobacco Prevention Programs conducted 550 retail establishment visits, 497 violations were noted, these violations included:

- No tobacco sales permit: 45
- Point of purchase signage violations: 289
- Cigar warning sign violations: 142
- Self-service display violations: 21

Youth Access Program inspections/merchant education visits are conducted to educate store clerks and owners about tobacco sales laws. The results of inspections done by Youth Access Programs are shared with the retailers' local board of health. The local board of health is authorized to investigate and enforce violations.

In FY 2007, MTCP-funded boards of health and Youth Access to Tobacco Prevention Programs also conducted several group merchant education trainings to interested retailers and chain store employees.

Appendix F contains a complete summary of FY 2007 retail inspections and violations.

Below is an analysis of retail store inspection violation rates (sorted by violation type) in both MTCP-funded BOH and unfunded BOH communities. Analysis of the data indicates that if a board of health is funded and consistent retail inspections/enforcement are taking place, violation rates in the retail store environment are lower.



Licensing Tobacco Retailers

ccording to the Surgeon General's report, *Reducing Tobacco Use* (2000), licensing tobacco retailers is an effective way to restrict tobacco sales to minors. In FY 2007, 74% of Massachusetts municipalities required a local tobacco sales license or a local tobacco sales permit. Seventy percent of these communities charged a fee. Fees for local tobacco sales licenses ranged from \$0 to \$500 in FY 2007. In addition to the local license, all retailers in Massachusetts must get a state license from the Department of Revenue.

A complete breakdown of FY 2007 license fees in Massachusetts cities/towns is in Appendix G.

Under 27 Retailer Kits

In FY 2007, MTCP initiated a campaign designed to educate Massachusetts retailers and the general public about state laws pertaining to restricting the sale of tobacco products to minors. Called *Under 27*, the campaign is jointly sponsored by the Department of Public Health's Tobacco Control Program and the Massachusetts Attorney General's office.

The campaign is named for the Massachusetts law that prohibits the sale of any tobacco products to those under age 18, and the Attorney General's regulation that requires retailers to ask for photo ID from anyone attempting to purchase tobacco who appears to be under age 27.

The campaign includes fact sheets, employee training cards, posters, decals, and customer information cards. In late FY 2007, MTCP-funded boards of health and MTCP's Youth Access to Tobacco Prevention Programs began distributing the *Under 27* retailer kits in retail stores across the state. In addition, nearly 200 mini-billboards featured advertisements promoting the *Under 27* campaign message on exterior walls of retail stores in several areas across the Commonwealth.

In FY 2005, the tobacco industry spent an estimated \$182 million in Massachusetts to promote tobacco products, much of that in the retail store environment. The *Under 27* messages were strategically placed into that same environment to remind retailers and the general public about the law.



An *Under 27* campaign sign placed prominently in Brockton.

Massachusetts Retailer Data Management System (RDMS)

In FY 2007, the Massachusetts Tobacco Control Program developed a comprehensive web-based Retailer Data Management System (RDMS). Using a handheld device or any computer with Internet access, state and local programs can enter compliance check and store/signage inspection results, retrieve historic retailer data, and create data reports. Plans for the future include tracking enforcement activities.

RDMS provides timely, consistent, and clean data across the state. Furthermore, RDMS allows MTCP to maintain complete data records on all known tobacco retailers across the Commonwealth and to track youth access issues in "real time."

By the end of FY 2007, 16 local programs were using RDMS in 213 municipalities. In FY 2008, RDMS will be used by all 28 programs that receive MTCP funding for youth access. Data will be collected in over 300 cities and towns.



Portable handheld devices allow instant reporting to the RDMS system.

Massachusetts' Youth Access Laws/ Regulations

Illegal sales to minors

Massachusetts state law prohibits giving or selling tobacco products to a minor under the age of 18 (*M.G.L.ch.270 Section 6*). The state law requires that fines for illegal sales be \$100 for the first offense, \$200 for the second offense, and \$300 for the third and subsequent offenses. However, it is up to the boards of health of the 351 cities and towns in Massachusetts to enforce this law locally.

The Massachusetts Attorney General's regulation 940 CMR 21.04(2); 22.06(2) further requires retailers who sell tobacco to ask for photo ID from anyone who appears to be under the age of 27. Retailers must only accept a valid government-issued ID to verify the purchaser's age.

Self -service displays

The Massachusetts Attorney General's sales regulations prohibit self-service displays from which customers may obtain tobacco products without the assistance of a store clerk. Self-service displays are only allowed in adult-only facilities. *940 CMR 21.04(2); 22.06(2)*.

Vending machines

The Massachusetts Attorney General's tobacco sales regulations ban vending machines containing tobacco products, except in establishments that are licensed to sell alcohol for consumption on the premises.

There are three requirements of all vending machines containing tobacco products:

- 1. Machines must have lock-out devices that require an employee to unlock the machine for each sale;
- 2. Machines must be located where sales can be easily observed by an employee; and
- 3. A sign must be posted on each machine stating that minors are not permitted to purchase tobacco and notifying customers of the lock-out device.

Single cigarette sales

Massachusetts state law and Massachusetts Attorney General Consumer Protection Regulations prohibit the sale of single, unpackaged cigarettes. Further, it is against the Attorney General Consumer Protection Regulations to break or otherwise open a cigarette pack, little cigar package, or smokeless tobacco container to sell or distribute. *M.G.L. ch.94, section 307A; 940 CMR* 21.04(1)(b) and 22.06(1)(b).

Required signage

Massachusetts state law also requires that notice of the minimum legal age sales law be posted at retail establishments selling tobacco. A nine square inch yellow sign must be posted at each cash register in retail establishments and a larger forty eight square inch sign must be posted at the cash register that has the greatest volume of tobacco sales. The sign(s) must face customers at a height of between four and nine feet from the ground. *M.G.L.ch.270, Section 7; 105 CMR 590.009(f)*. Tobacco signage laws are also enforced by local boards of health.

<u>Appendices</u>

Appendix A - FY 2007 Community Funding Status

City/Town	2000 Population	EOHHS Region	Funded BOH	Funded YAPPs	BOH mini- grants
Abington	14,605	SE	yes	no	no
Acton	20,331	MW	yes	no	no
Acushnet	10,161	SE	yes	no	no
Adams	8,809	W	no	yes	no
Agawam	28,144	W	no	yes	no
Alford	399	W	no	yes	no
Amesbury	16,450	NE	no	yes	no
Amherst	34,874	W	yes	no	no
Andover	31,247	NE	yes	no	no
Aquinnah	344	SE	yes	no	no
Arlington	42,389	MW	no	no	yes
Ashburnham	5,546	С	no	no	no
Ashby	2,845	С	no	no	no
Ashfield	1,800	W	no	yes	no
Ashland	14,674	MW	yes	no	no
Athol	11,299	С	yes	no	no
Attleboro	42,068	SE	no	no	no
Auburn	15,901	С	yes	no	no
Avon	4,443	SE	no	no	no
Ayer	7,287	С	no	no	no
Barnstable	47,821	SE	yes	no	no
Barre	5,113	С	yes	no	no
Becket	1,755	W	no	yes	no
Bedford	12,595	MW	no	no	yes
Belchertown	12,968	W	no	yes	no
Bellingham	15,314	С	no	yes	no
Belmont	24,194	MW	yes	no	no
Berkley	5,749	SE	no	no	no
Berlin	2,380	MW	no	no	no
Bernardston	2,155	W	no	yes	no
Beverly	39,862	NE	yes	no	no
Billerica	38,981	NE	yes	no	no
Blackstone	8,804	С	no	yes	no
Blandford	1,214	W	no	yes	no
Bolton	4,148	С	no	no	no
Boston	589,141	В	yes	no	no
Bourne	18,721	SE	yes	no	no
Boxborough	4,868	С	no	no	no
Boxford	7,921	NE	no	yes	no
Boylston	4,008	С	yes	no	no
Braintree	33,828	MW	yes	no	no

EOHHS = Executive Office of Health & Human Services

BOH = Board of Health

YAPPs = Youth Access Prevention Programs

EOHHS Regions:

B = Boston

C = Central

MW = Metrowest

NE = Northeast

SE = Southeast

W = West

City/Town	2000	EOHHS	Funded	Funded	BOH mini-
	Population	Region	вон	YAPPs	grants
Brewster	10,094	SE	yes	no	no
Bridgewater	25,185	SE	no	no	no
Brimfield	3,339	W	yes	no	no
Brockton	94,304	SE	no	no	no
Brookfield	3,051	С	no	yes	no
Brookline	57,107	MW	yes	no	no
Buckland	1,991	W	yes	no	no
Burlington	22,876	MW	yes	no	no
Cambridge	101,355	В	yes	no	no
Canton	20,775	MW	no	no	yes
Carlisle	4,717	MW	no	no	no
Carver	11,163	SE	yes	no	no
Charlemont	1,358	W	no	yes	no
Charlton	11,263	С	yes	no	no
Chatham	6,625	SE	yes	no	no
Chelmsford	33,858	NE	yes	no	no
Chelsea	35,080	В	yes	no	no
Cheshire	3,401	W	no	yes	no
Chester	1,308	W	no	yes	no
Chesterfield	1,201	W	no	yes	no
Chicopee	54,653	W	no	yes	no
Chilmark	843	SE	yes	no	no
Clarksburg	1,686	W	no	yes	no
Clinton	13,435	С	yes	no	no
Cohasset	7,261	MW	yes	no	no
Colrain	1,813	W	no	yes	no
Concord	16,993	MW	no	no	no
Conway	1,809	W	no	yes	no
Cummington	978	W	no	yes	no
Dalton	6,892	W	yes	no	no
Danvers	25,212	NE	yes	no	no
Dartmouth	30,666	SE	yes	no	no
Dedham	23,464	MW	no	no	no
Deerfield	4,750	W	yes	no	no
Dennis	15,973	SE	yes	no	no
Dighton	6,175	SE	no	no	no
Douglas	7,045	C	no	yes	no
Dover	5,558	MW	no	no	no
Dracut	28,562	NE	yes	no	no
Dudley	10,036	С	yes	no	no
Dunstable	2,829	C	no	no	no
Duxbury	14,248	SE	yes	no	no
East Bridgewater	12,974	SE	no	no	no
East Longmondow	2,097	C	no	yes	no
East Longmeadow	14,100	W	yes	no	no

City/Town	2000 Population	EOHHS Region	Funded BOH	Funded YAPPs	BOH mini- grants
Eastham	5,453	SE	yes	no	no
Easthampton	15,994	W	yes	no	no
Easton	22,299	SE	no	no	no
Edgartown	3,779	SE	yes	no	no
Egremont	1,345	W	yes	no	no
Erving	1,467	W	no	yes	no
Essex	3,267	NE	no	yes	no
Everett	38,037	NE	yes	no	no
Fairhaven	16,159	SE	yes	no	no
Fall River	91,938	SE	yes	no	no
Falmouth	32,660	SE	yes	no	no
Fitchburg	39,102	С	yes	no	no
Florida	676	W	no	yes	no
Foxborough	16,246	SE	no	no	no
Framingham	66,910	MW	no	no	yes
Franklin	29,560	MW	no	no	no
Freetown	8,472	SE	no	no	no
Gardner	20,770	С	yes	no	no
Georgetown	7,377	NE	no	yes	no
Gill	1,363	W	yes	no	no
Gloucester	30,273	NE	no	yes	yes
Goshen	921	W	no	yes	no
Gosnold	86	SE	no	no	no
Grafton	14,894	C	yes	no	no
Granby	6,132	W	yes	no	no
Granville	1,521	W	no	yes	no
Great Barrington	7,527	W	yes	no	no
Greenfield	18,168	W	yes	no	no
Groton	9,547	C	no	no	no
Groveland	6,038	NE W	no	yes	no
Hadley Halifax	4,793	SE	no	yes	no
Hamilton	7,500 8 315	NE	no	no	no
Hampden	8,315 5,171	W	no	yes	no
Hancock	721	W	no no	yes	no no
Hanover	13,164	MW		yes no	no
Hanson	9,495	SE	yes no	no	no
Hardwick	2,622	C	yes	no	no
Harvard	5,981	C	no	no	no
Harwich	12,386	SE	yes	no	no
Hatfield	3,249	W	yes	no	no
Haverhill	58,969	NE	yes	no	no
Hawley	336	W	no	yes	no
Heath	805	W	yes	no	no
Hingham	19,882	MW	yes	no	no
	13,002		,00	110	

EOHHS = Executive
Office of Health & Human
Services

BOH = Board of Health

YAPPs = Youth Access
Prevention Programs

EOHHS Regions:
B = Boston
C = Central
MW = Metrowest

NE = Northeast SE = Southeast W = West

City/Town	2000 Population	EOHHS Region	Funded BOH	Funded YAPPs	BOH mini- grants
Hinsdale	1,872	W	yes	no	no
Holbrook	10,785	MW	yes	no	no
Holden	15,621	С	yes	no	no
Holland	2,407	W	no	yes	no
Holliston	13,801	MW	no	no	no
Holyoke	39,838	W	yes	no	no
Hopedale	5,907	С	no	yes	no
Hopkinton	13,346	MW	no	no	yes
Hubbardston	3,909	С	yes	no	no
Hudson	18,113	MW	yes	no	no
Hull	11,050	MW	yes	no	no
Huntington	2,174	W	no	yes	no
Ipswich	12,987	NE	no	yes	no
Kingston	11,780	SE	yes	no	no
Lakeville	9,821	SE	no	no	no
Lancaster	7,380	С	no	no	no
Lanesborough	2,990	W	no	yes	no
Lawrence	72,043	NE	yes	no	no
Lee	5,985	W	yes	no	no
Leicester	10,471	С	yes	no	no
Lenox	5,077	W	yes	no	no
Leominster	41,303	С	yes	no	no
Leverett	1,663	W	no	yes	no
Lexington	30,355	MW	yes	no	no
Leyden	772	W	no	yes	no
Lincoln	8,056	MW	no	no	no
Littleton	8,184	С	no	no	no
Longmeadow	15,633	W	yes	no	no
Lowell	105,167	NE	yes	no	no
Ludlow	21,209	W	yes	no	no
Lunenburg	9,401	С	no	no	no
Lynn	89,050	NE	yes	no	no
Lynnfield	11,542	NE	yes	no	no
Malden	56,340	NE	yes	no	no
Manchester by the Sea	5,228	NE	no	yes	no
Mansfield	22,414	SE	no	no	yes
Marblehead	20,377	NE	yes	no	no
Marion	5,123	SE	yes	no	no
Marlborough	36,255	MW	yes	no	no
Marshfield	24,324	SE	yes	no	no
Mashpee	12,946	SE	yes	no	no
Mattapoisett	6,268	SE	no	no	no
Maynard	10,433	С	yes	no	no
Medfield	12,273	MW	no	no	no
	,				

City/Town	2000 Population	EOHHS Region	Funded BOH	Funded YAPPs	BOH mini- grants
Medway	12,448	MW	no	no	no
Melrose	27,134	NE	no	no	yes
Mendon	5,286	С	no	yes	no
Merrimac	6,138	NE	no	yes	no
Methuen	43,789	NE	yes	no	no
Middleborough	19,941	SE	no	no	no
Middlefield	542	W	no	yes	no
Middleton	7,744	NE	yes	no	no
Milford	26,799	С	no	yes	no
Millbury	12,784	С	yes	no	no
Millis	7,902	MW	no	no	no
Millville	2,724	С	no	yes	no
Milton	26,062	MW	yes	no	no
Monroe	93	W	no	yes	no
Monson	8,359	W	yes	no	no
Montague	8,489	W	yes	no	no
Monterey	934	W	yes	no	no
Montgomery	654	W	no	yes	no
Mount Washington	130	W	no	yes	no
Nahant	3,632	NE	yes	no	no
Nantucket	9,520	SE	yes	no	no
Natick	32,170	MW	no	no	yes
Needham	28,911	MW	yes	no	no
New Ashford	247	W	no	yes	no
New Bedford	93,768	SE	yes	no	no
New Braintree	927	С	yes	no	no
New Marlborough	1,494	W	yes	no	no
New Salem	929	W	no	yes	no
Newbury	6,717	NE	no	yes	no
Newburyport	17,189	NE	yes	no	no
Newton	83,829	MW	yes	no	no
Norfolk	10,460	MW	no	no	no
North Adams	14,681	W	no	yes	no
North Andover	27,202	NE	yes	no	no
North Attleboro	27,143	SE	no	no	no
North Brookfield	4,683	С	no	yes	no
North Reading	13,837	NE	yes	no	no
Northampton	28,978	W	yes	no	no
Northborough	14,013	MW	yes	no	no
Northbridge	13,182	С	no	yes	yes
Northfield	2,951	W	no	yes	no
Norton	18,036	SE	no	no	yes
Norwell	9,765	MW	yes	no	no
Norwood	28,587	MW	no	no	no
Oak Bluffs	3,713	SE	yes	no	no

EOHHS = Executive
Office of Health & Human
Services

BOH = Board of Health

YAPPs = Youth Access
Prevention Programs

EOHHS Regions:
B = Boston
C = Central
MW = Metrowest
NE = Northeast
SE = Southeast
W = West

City/Town	2000 Population	EOHHS Region	Funded BOH	Funded YAPPs	BOH mini- grants
Oakham	1,673	С	yes	no	no
Orange	7,518	W	yes	no	no
Orleans	6,341	SE	yes	no	no
Otis	1,365	W	yes	no	no
Oxford	13,352	С	yes	no	no
Palmer	12,497	W	yes	no	no
Paxton	4,386	С	yes	no	no
Peabody	48,129	NE	yes	no	no
Pelham	1,403	W	no	yes	no
Pembroke	16,927	SE	no	no	no
Pepperell	11,142	С	no	no	no
Peru	821	W	no	yes	no
Petersham	1,180	С	yes	no	no
Phillipston	1,621	С	yes	no	no
Pittsfield	45,793	W	yes	no	no
Plainfield	589	W	no	yes	no
Plainville	7,683	SE	no	no	no
Plymouth	51,701	SE	yes	no	no
Plympton	2,637	SE	no	no	no
Princeton	3,353	С	no	no	no
Provincetown	3,431	SE	yes	no	no
Quincy	88,025	MW	yes	no	no
Randolph	30,963	MW	no	no	yes
Raynham	11,739	SE	no	no	no
Reading	23,708	NE	yes	no	no
Rehoboth	10,172	SE	no	no	no
Revere	47,283	В	yes	no	no
Richmond	1,604	W	no	yes	no
Rochester	4,581	SE	yes	no	no
Rockland	17,670	MW	yes	no	no
Rockport	7,767	NE	no	yes	no
Rowe	351	W	no	yes	no
Rowley	5,500	NE	no	yes	no
Royalston	1,254	С	yes	no	no
Russell	1,657	W	no	yes	no
Rutland	6,353	С	no	no	no
Salem	40,407	NE	yes	no	no
Salisbury	7,827	NE	no	yes	no
Sandisfield	824	W	no	yes	no
Sandwich	20,136	SE	yes	no	no
Saugus	26,078	NE	yes	no	no
Savoy	705	W	no	yes	no
Scituate	17,863	MW	yes	no	no
Seekonk	13,425	SE	no	no	no
Sharon	17,408	SE	no	no	yes
Sheffield	3,335	W	yes	no	no

City/Town	2000	EOHHS	Funded	Funded	BOH mini-
	Population	Region	вон	YAPPs	grants
Shelburne	2,058	W	yes	no	no
Sherborn	4,200	MW	no	no	no
Shirley	6,373	С	no	no	no
Shrewsbury	31,640	С	yes	no	no
Shutesbury	1,810	W	no	yes	no
Somerset	18,234	SE	yes	no	no
Somerville	77,478	В	yes	no	no
South Hadley	17,196	W	yes	no	no
Southampton	5,387	W	yes	no	no
Southborough	8,781	MW	yes	no	no
Southbridge	17,214	С	yes	no	no
Southwick	8,835	W	no	yes	no
Spencer	11,691	С	yes	no	no
Springfield	152,082	W	yes	no	no
Sterling	7,257	С	no	no	no
Stockbridge	2,276	W	yes	no	no
Stoneham	22,219	NE	yes	no	no
Stoughton	27,149	SE	no	no	no
Stow	5,902	С	yes	no	no
Sturbridge	7,837	С	yes	no	no
Sudbury	16,841	MW	no	no	no
Sunderland	3,777	W	yes	no	no
Sutton	8,250	С	no	yes	no
Swampscott	14,412	NE	yes	no	no
Swansea	15,901	SE	no	no	no
Taunton	55,976	SE	no	no	no
Templeton	6,799	С	yes	no	no
Tewksbury	28,851	NE	yes	no	no
Tisbury	3,755	SE	yes	no	no
Tolland	426	W	no	yes	no
Topsfield	6,141	NE	yes	no	no
Townsend	9,198	С	no	no	no
Truro	2,087	SE	yes	no	no
Tyngsborough	11,081	NE	yes	no	no
Tyringham	350	W	no	yes	no
Upton	5,642	С	no	yes	no
Uxbridge	11,156	С	no	yes	no
Wakefield	24,804	NE	yes	no	no
Wales	1,737	W	no	yes	no
Walpole	22,824	MW	no	no	yes
Waltham	59,226	MW	yes	no	no
Ware	9,707	W	no	yes	no
Wareham	20,335	SE	yes	no	no
Warren	4,776	С	no	yes	no
Warwick	750	W	no	yes	no
Washington	544	W	no	yes	no
-				-	

EOHHS = Executive
Office of Health & Human
Services

BOH = Board of Health

YAPPs = Youth Access Prevention Programs

EOHHS Regions:

B = Boston

C = Central

MW = Metrowest

NE = Northeast

SE = Southeast

W = West

City/Town	2000	EOHHS	Funded	Funded	BOH mini-
	Population	Region	вон	YAPPs	grants
Watertown	32,986	MW	yes	no	no
Wayland	13,100	MW	no	no	no
Webster	16,415	С	yes	no	no
Wellesley	26,613	MW	yes	no	no
Wellfleet	2,749	SE	yes	no	no
Wendell	986	W	no	yes	no
Wenham	4,440	NE	no	yes	no
West Boylston	7,481	С	yes	no	no
West Bridgewater	6,634	SE	no	no	no
West Brookfield	3,804	С	no	yes	no
West Newbury	4,149	NE	no	yes	no
West Springfield	27,899	W	no	yes	no
West Stockbridge	1,416	W	no	yes	no
West Tisbury	2,467	SE	yes	no	no
Westborough	17,997	MW	yes	no	no
Westfield	40,072	W	no	yes	no
Westford	20,754	NE	yes	no	no
Westhampton	1,468	W	no	yes	no
Westminster	6,907	С	yes	no	no
Weston	11,469	MW	no	no	no
Westport	14,183	SE	yes	no	no
Westwood	14,117	MW	no	no	no
Weymouth	53,988	MW	yes	no	no
Whately	1,573	W	yes	no	no
Whitman	13,882	SE	yes	no	no
Wilbraham	13,473	W	yes	no	no
Williamsburg	2,427	W	yes	no	no
Williamstown	8,424	W	no	yes	no
Wilmington	21,363	MW	yes	no	no
Winchendon	9,611	С	yes	no	no
Winchester	20,810	MW	yes	no	no
Windsor	875	W	no	yes	no
Winthrop	18,303	В	no	no	no
Woburn	37,258	MW	no	no	no
Worcester	172,648	С	yes	no	no
Worthington	1,270	W	no	yes	no
Wrentham	10,554	SE	no	no	no
Yarmouth	24,807	SE	yes	no	no
Total	6,349,097				

Appendix B - FY 2007 Compliance Checks in Funded Boards of Health

City/Town	# of checks conducted	# of illegal sales	Illegal sales rate
Abington	105	8	7.62%
Acton	49	0	0.00%
Acushnet	21	1	4.76%
Amherst	42	1	2.38%
Andover	56	6	10.71%
Ashland	41	5	12.20%
Athol	47	3	6.38%
Auburn	18	6	33.33%
Barnstable	188	9	4.79%
Barre	22	1	4.55%
Belmont	61	3	4.92%
Beverly	118	9	7.63%
Billerica	107	6	5.61%
Boston	2914	157	5.39%
Bourne	77	1	1.30%
Boylston	13	2	15.38%
Braintree	163	8	4.91%
Brewster	25	2	8.00%
Brimfield	12	2	16.67%
Brookline	123	7	5.69%
Buckland	2	0	0.00%
Burlington	56	1	1.79%
Cambridge	394	21	5.33%
Carver	48	1	2.08%
Charlton	31	1	3.23%
Chatham	29	2	6.90%
Chelmsford	69	7	10.14%
Chelsea	152	4	2.63%
Chilmark	2	0	0.00%
Clinton	65	5	7.69%
Cohasset	48	2	4.17%
Dalton	21	6	28.57%
Danvers	88	10	11.36%
Dartmouth	60	7	11.67%
Deerfield	15	2	13.33%
Dennis	62	4	6.45%
Dracut	90	7	7.78%
Dudley	40	5	12.50%
Duxbury	30	1	3.33%
East Longmeadow	51	4	7.84%
Eastham	20	0	0.00%
Easthampton	51	4	7.84%

City/Town	# of checks conducted	# of illegal sales	Illegal sales rate
Edgartown	16	0	0.00%
Egremont	8	1	12.50%
Everett	149	10	6.71%
Fairhaven	71	11	15.49%
Fall River	411	32	7.79%
Falmouth	125	5	4.00%
Fitchburg	171	12	7.02%
Gardner	54	5	9.26%
Gill	7	0	0.00%
Grafton	21	9	42.86%
Granby	19	0	0.00%
Great Barrington	38	5	13.16%
Greenfield	78	8	10.26%
Hanover	74	3	4.05%
Hardwick	7	0	0.00%
Harwich	54	3	5.56%
Hatfield	8	0	0.00%
Haverhill	239	15	6.28%
Heath	2	0	0.00%
Hingham	63	3	4.76%
Hinsdale	7	1	14.29%
Holbrook	75	2	2.67%
Holden	9	2	22.22%
Holyoke	141	14	9.93%
Hubbardston	6	0	0.00%
Hudson	39	1	2.56%
Hull	49	4	8.16%
Kingston	73	1	1.37%
Lawrence	231	48	20.78%
	33	46	12.12%
Lee Leicester	33	19	57.58%
		5	20.00%
Lenox	25		
Leominster	102	6	5.88%
Lexington	34	1 2	2.94%
Longmeadow	32		6.25%
Lowell	344	32	9.30%
Ludlow	68	8	11.76%
Lynn	429	21	4.90%
Lynnfield	31	2	6.45%
Malden	186	21	11.29%
Marblehead	26	5	19.23%
Marion	18	0	0.00%
Marlborough	74	15	20.27%
Marshfield	90	1	1.11%
Mashpee	38	1	2.63%
Maynard	41	2	4.88%
Medford	160	12	7.50%

City/Town	# of checks conducted	# of illegal sales	Illegal sales rate
Methuen	196	5	2.55%
Middleton	47	2	4.26%
Millbury	23	4	17.39%
Milton	27	0	0.00%
Monson	34	2	5.88%
Montague	18	3	16.67%
Monterey	4	4	100.00%
Nahant	11	1	9.09%
Nantucket	47	13	27.66%
Needham	47	1	2.13%
New Bedford	291	33	11.34%
New Braintree	1	0	0.00%
New Marlborough	3	1	33.33%
Newburyport	82	1	1.22%
Newton	186	9	4.84%
North Andover	83	1	1.20%
North Reading	62	3	4.84%
Northampton	90	5	5.56%
Northborough	35	6	17.14%
Norwell	44	0	0.00%
Oak Bluffs	12	1	8.33%
Oakham	6	0	0.00%
Orange	22	1	4.55%
Orleans	29	0	0.00%
Otis	13	0	0.00%
Oxford	33	4	12.12%
Palmer	77	10	12.99%
Paxton	3	0	0.00%
Peabody	159	18	11.32%
Petersham	7	0	0.00%
Phillipston	5	1	20.00%
Pittsfield	186	31	16.67%
Plymouth	194	5	2.58%
Provincetown	34	3	8.82%
Quincy	410	36	8.78%
Reading	93	6	6.45%
Revere	217	11	5.07%
Rochester	9	0	0.00%
Rockland	86	7	8.14%
Royalston	2	0	0.00%
Salem	169	17	10.06%
Sandwich	58	3	5.17%
Saugus	91	9	9.89%
Scituate	59	2	3.39%
Sheffield	10	1	10.00%
Shelburne	9	0	0.00%
Shrewsbury	48	17	35.42%

City/Town	# of checks conducted	# of illegal sales	Illegal sales rate
Somerset	61	3	4.92%
Somerville	298	6	2.01%
South Hadley	42	2	4.76%
Southampton	25	1	4.00%
Southborough	17	5	29.41%
Southbridge	65	4	6.15%
Spencer	34	8	23.53%
Springfield	509	47	9.23%
Stockbridge	12	4	33.33%
Stoneham	80	1	1.25%
Stow	19	0	0.00%
Sturbridge	53	4	7.55%
Sunderland	14	2	14.29%
Swampscott	33	2	6.06%
Templeton	21	1	4.76%
Tewksbury	64	2	3.13%
Tisbury	15	1	6.67%
Topsfield	13	0	0.00%
Truro	8	1	12.50%
Tyngsborough	19	5	26.32%
Tyringham	0	0	0.00%
Wakefield	114	5	4.39%
Waltham	213	14	6.57%
Wareham	114	9	7.89%
Watertown	152	2	1.32%
Webster	70	11	15.71%
Wellesley	47	0	0.00%
Wellfleet	22	0	0.00%
West Boylston	7	3	42.86%
West Tisbury	7	0	0.00%
Westborough	24	1	4.17%
Westford	42	0	0.00%
Westminster	18 64	0 6	0.00% 9.38%
Westport	271	25	9.23%
Weymouth Whately	9	0	0.00%
Whitman	69	5	7.25%
Wilbraham	37	8	21.62%
Williamsburg	11	0	0.00%
_	38	0	0.00%
Wilmington Winchendon	33	4	12.12%
Winchester	20	0	0.00%
Worcester	749	51	6.81%
Yarmouth	96	5	5.21%
Total	16,636	1,208	7.26%
iUlai	10,030	1,200	1.2070

This data is from the MTCP Compliance Check database.

Appendix C - FY 2007 Funded Board of Health Enforcement Summary

City/Town	Number of Fines	Total Amount of Fines	Number of Permit Suspensions	Total Days of Permit Suspensions
Abington	8	\$2,400	0	0
Acushnet	1	\$100	0	0
Amherst	1	\$100	0	0
Andover	6	\$700	1	7
Ashland	5	\$500	0	0
Athol	0	-	2	10
Auburn	0	-	0	0
Barnstable	11	\$1,700	0	0
Barre	1	\$100	0	0
Belmont	3	\$300	0	0
Beverly	8	\$1,500	5	81
Billerica	9	\$1,150	0	0
Boston	231	\$32,600	67	409
Bourne	0	-	0	0
Boylston	2	\$300	0	0
Braintree	3	\$700	3	20
Brewster	2	\$300	0	0
Brimfield	2	\$200	0	0
Brookline	6	\$1,000	0	0
Burlington	2	\$100	0	0
Cambridge	20	\$2,400	0	0
Carver	1	\$100	0	0
Charlton	0	-	0	0
Chatham	2	\$200	0	0
Chelmsford	6	\$600	0	0
Chelsea	4	\$500	0	0
Chilmark	0	-	0	0
Clinton	2	\$300	0	0
Cohasset	0	-	1	7
Dalton	3	\$300	0	0
Danvers	11	\$2,200	2	14
Dartmouth	6	\$700	2	14
Deerfield	0	-	0	0
Dennis	1	\$100	0	0
Dracut	0	-	0	0
Dudley	2	\$200	0	0
Duxbury	0	-	0	0
East Longmeadow	2	\$200	0	0
Easthampton	4	\$800	4	51

City/Town	Number of Fines	Total Amount of Fines	Number of Permit Suspensions	Total Days of Permit Suspensions
Edgartown	0	-	0	0
Egremont	0	-	0	0
Everett	0	-	0	0
Fairhaven	12	\$1,300	0	0
Fall River	35	\$4,500	0	0
Falmouth	2	\$50	0	0
Fitchburg	1	\$100	1	3
Gardner	5	\$250	0	0
Grafton	9	\$1,000	0	0
Granby	0	-	0	0
Great Barrington	6	\$800	0	0
Greenfield	0	_	0	0
Hanover	2	\$500	0	0
Harwich	0	· -	0	0
Hatfield	0	_	2	37
Haverhill	14	\$2,100	0	0
Hingham	4	\$400	0	0
Hinsdale	0	· -	0	0
Holbrook	0	_	0	0
Holden	0	_	0	0
Holyoke	14	\$2,300	6	29
Hudson	1	\$100	0	0
Hull	3	\$400	0	0
Kingston	0	-	0	0
Lawrence	40	\$4,000	0	0
Lee	6	\$1,600	1	7
Leicester	13	\$625	0	0
Lenox	6	\$1,800	3	21
Leominster	5	\$700	2	4
Lexington	1	\$50	0	0
Longmeadow	3	\$200	0	0
Lowell	38	\$5,100	0	0
Ludlow	2	\$200	5	140
Lynn	19	\$3,300	5	58
Lynnfield	0	-	0	0
Malden	21	\$2,400	0	0
Marblehead	5	\$500	0	0
Marlborough	14	\$2,800	0	0
Marshfield	0	-	1	90
Mashpee	0	_	0	0
Maynard	1	\$100	0	0
Medford	12	\$650	0	0
Methuen	5	\$1,500	0	0
Middleton	2	\$200	0	0
- naarctori	_	Ψ200	•	J

City/Town	Number of Fines	Total Amount of Fines	Number of Permit Suspensions	Total Days of Permit Suspensions
Millbury	2	\$300	0	0
Monson	3	\$300	0	0
Montague	0	-	0	0
Monterey	2	\$300	0	0
Nahant	1	\$200	0	0
Nantucket	5	\$500	0	0
Needham	1	\$100	1	7
New Bedford	24	\$2,500	0	0
New Marlborough	0	-	0	0
Newburyport	2	\$100	0	0
Newton	9	\$750	0	0
North Andover	1	\$100	0	0
North Reading	3	\$500	0	0
Northampton	5	\$1,200	12	305
Northborough	5	\$500	0	0
Oak Bluffs	1	\$100	0	0
Orange	0	· -	0	0
Otis	2	\$300	0	0
Oxford	0	· -	0	0
Palmer	10	\$1,500	4	49
Peabody	18	\$2,500	0	0
Pittsfield	49	\$10,350	21	246
Plymouth	0	-	0	0
Provincetown	3	\$400	0	0
Quincy	32	\$3,800	0	0
Reading	7	\$900	0	0
Revere	11	\$1,300	0	0
Rockland	5	\$700	1	3
Salem	8	\$1,600	0	0
Sandisfield	0	-	0	0
Sandwich	2	\$200	0	0
Saugus	9	\$1,200	1	7
Scituate	0	-	0	0
Sheffield	0	_	0	0
Shrewsbury	0	_	3	21
Somerset	3	\$300	0	0
Somerville	7	\$800	0	0
South Hadley	2	\$300	1	7
Southborough	6	\$600	0	0
Southbridge	0	φ000 -	0	0
Spencer	8	\$1,400	0	0
Springfield	12	\$1,500	0	0
Stockbridge	2	\$1,500 \$600	1	7
Stockbridge	1	\$100 \$100	0	0
Stonenan	1	ф100	U	U

City/Town	Number of Fines	Total Amount of Fines	Number of Permit Suspensions	Total Days of Permit Suspensions
Sturbridge	1	\$100	0	0
Sunderland	0	-	0	0
Sutton	0	-	0	0
Swampscott	2	\$300	1	7
Templeton	0	-	0	0
Tewksbury	2	\$150	0	0
Tisbury	1	\$100	0	0
Truro	0	-	0	0
Tyngsborough	5	\$1,000	0	0
Wakefield	5	\$1,000	0	0
Waltham	11	\$1,400	0	0
Wareham	6	\$1,200	1	30
Watertown	1	\$100	0	0
Webster	3	\$300	0	0
West Boylston	3	\$300	0	0
West Tisbury	1	\$200	1	30
Westborough	1	\$100	0	0
Westport	6	\$800	0	0
Weymouth	17	\$3,600	10	139
Whitman	5	\$200	1	7
Wilbraham	8	\$1,400	4	27
Winchendon	3	\$75	3	9
Worcester	47	\$6,800	0	0
Yarmouth	5	\$600	0	0
Total	1060	\$149,400	179	1903

Source: MTCP Management Information System (MIS) Data. Funded boards of health submit monthly MIS forms.

Appendix D - FY 2007 Compliance Checks in Muncipalities without Funded Boards of Health

City/Town	Number of checks conducted	Number of illegal sales	Illegal sales rate
Adams	18	4	22.22%
Agawam	33	6	18.18%
Amesbury	12	0	0.00%
Arlington	28	2	7.14%
Ashfield	2	0	0.00%
Attleboro	3	0	0.00%
Avon	10	1	10.00%
Becket	1	0	0.00%
Bedford	9	1	11.11%
Belchertown	13	5	38.46%
Bellingham	28	5	17.86%
Bernardston	2	0	0.00%
Blackstone	21	2	9.52%
Blandford	2	0	0.00%
Bolton	2	0	0.00%
Boxford	1	0	0.00%
Bridgewater	1	1	100.00%
Brockton	129	22	17.05%
Brookfield	4	1	25.00%
Canton	25	0	0.00%
Charlemont	10	4	40.00%
Cheshire	4	2	50.00%
Chester	2	0	0.00%
Chesterfield	2	1	50.00%
Chicopee	62	18	29.03%
Clarksburg	1	0	0.00%
Colrain	2	1	50.00%
Conway	2	0	0.00%
Cummington	1	1	100.00%
Dighton	6	2	33.33%
Douglas	5	0	0.00%
East Brookfield	3	0	0.00%
Easton	33	6	18.18%
Essex	5	0	0.00%
Florida	1	0	0.00%
Framingham	76	6	7.89%
Franklin	27	2	7.41%
Georgetown	7	1	14.29%

City/Town	Number of checks conducted	Number of illegal sales	Illegal sales rate
Gloucester	66	19	28.79%
Granville	1	1	100.00%
Groton	10	2	20.00%
Groveland	6	0	0.00%
Hadley	19	7	36.84%
Halifax	9	1	11.11%
Hamilton	6	1	16.67%
Hampden	9	4	44.44%
Holland	4	2	50.00%
Hopedale	6	1	16.67%
Ipswich	13	1	7.69%
Lakeville	8	0	0.00%
Lanesborough	6	1	16.67%
Littleton	1	1	100.00%
Manchester by the Sea	5	0	0.00%
Mansfield	22	4	18.18%
Mendon	10	0	0.00%
Merrimac	8	0	0.00%
Milford	40	3	7.50%
Millville	2	0	0.00%
Natick	33	1	3.03%
Newbury	15	0	0.00%
North Adams	16	2	12.50%
North Attleboro	27	8	29.63%
North Brookfield	6	3	50.00%
Northbridge	34	0	0.00%
Northfield	3	0	0.00%
Norton	14	2	14.29%
Plainfield	2	0	0.00%
Plympton	2	0	0.00%
Randolph	37	1	2.70%
Rockport	37	0	0.00%
Rowley	6	0	0.00%
Russell	4	1	25.00%
Salisbury	19	3	15.79%
Sandisfield	2	1	50.00%
	1		
Savoy Sharon	5	0	0.00% 0.00%
Southwick	10	1	10.00%
Stoughton	70	14	20.00%
Sutton	7	1	14.29%
Taunton	68	12	17.65%
Townsend	9	2	22.22%

City/Town	Number of checks conducted	Number of illegal sales	Illegal sales rate
Upton	10	0	0.00%
Uxbridge	16	2	12.50%
Wales	1	0	0.00%
Walpole	54	10	18.52%
Ware	15	5	33.33%
Warren	9	2	22.22%
Wenham	1	0	0.00%
West Brookfield	6	4	66.67%
West Newbury	1	0	0.00%
West Springfield	39	7	17.95%
Westfield	47	6	12.77%
Williamstown	9	4	44.44%
Windsor	2	0	0.00%
Winthrop	20	12	60.00%
Total	1,467	246	16.8%

Data is from MTCP Compliance Check database

Appendix E - FY 2004 - FY 2007 Chain Store Sales Summary

Chain Store	FY 2004 Sales Rate	FY 2005 Sales Rate	FY 2006 Sales Rate	FY 2007 Sales Rate
7-11/Seven-Eleven	22%	15%	16%	12%
Big Y Supermarket	N/A	13%	9%	6%
Brooks	9%	7%	7%	5%
Citgo	26%	14%	20%	13%
Cumberland Farms	17%	15%	14%	12%
CVS	14%	11%	10%	5%
Exxon Mobil	21%	17%	15%	10%
Getty	22%	18%	18%	14%
Hess	19%	18%	21%	15%
Honey Farms	N/A	19%	10%	17%
K-Mart	N/A	6%	0%	0%
Lil Peach	N/A	4%	6%	1%
Market Basket	N/A	5%	8%	4%
Price Chopper	N/A	7%	10%	3%
Shaws	N/A	4%	2%	2%
Shell	22%	18%	17%	11%
Stop & Shop	N/A	6%	10%	8%
Star Market	N/A	N/A	8%	3%
Store 24	8%	7%	7%	4%
Sunoco	15%	10%	10%	13%
Tedeschi	N/A	10%	12%	6%
Texaco	30%	12%	16%	7%
Walgreens	7%	5%	10%	6%
Wal Mart	N/A	4%	4%	1%
White Hen	15%	19%	16%	10%
Xtra Mart	N/A	22%	19%	15%

Data is from MTCP Compliance Check Database

FY 2004 = July 1, 2003 - June 30, 2004

FY 2005 = July 1, 2004 - June 30, 2005

FY 2006 = July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006

FY 2007 = July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

Appendix F - FY 2007 Retail Store Inspections and Violations

	Community- Based Agencies	Unfunded BOH % of violations	Funded BOHs	Funded BOH % of violations
Number of Establishments Visited	550		11,978	
No Sales License - combination of local license and/or DOR license	45	8.2%	1,937	16.2%
Point of Purchase Sign Violation (MGL 270 section 6,7)	289	52.5%	1,359	11.3%
Cigar Warning Sign Violation (AG Regulation)	142	25.8%	202	1.7%
Self Service Display Violation	21	3.8%	235	2.0%

Appendix G - Local License Fees in Massachusetts Cities/Towns - August 2007

City/Town	Youth Access regulation	Permits required	Fee required	Fee amount
\$500 fee (2 cities/	towns)			
Arlington	yes	yes	yes	\$500
Needham	yes	yes	yes	\$500
\$300 fee (1 city/to	own)	-	-	
Amherst	yes	yes	yes	\$300
\$250 fee (6 cities/	towns)			
Brookline	yes	yes	yes	\$250
Lee	yes	yes	yes	\$250
Lenox	yes	yes	yes	\$250
Milton	yes	yes	yes	\$250
Stockbridge	yes	yes	yes	\$250
Wellesley	yes	yes	yes	\$250
\$200 fee (5 cities/	towns)			
Dover	yes	yes	yes	\$200
Lexington	yes	yes	yes	\$200
New Bedford	yes	yes	yes	\$200
Westwood	yes	yes	yes	\$200
Wilmington	yes	yes	yes	\$200
\$165-110 fee (11 c				
Southampton	yes	yes	yes	\$165
Concord	yes	yes	yes	\$150
Gloucester	yes	yes	yes	\$150
Holliston	yes	yes	yes	\$150
Longmeadow	yes	yes	yes	\$150
Sharon	yes	yes	yes	\$150
Stoneham	yes	yes	yes	\$150 \$130
Ludlow	yes	yes	yes	\$130 \$135
Methuen	yes	yes	yes	\$125
Templeton	yes	yes	yes	\$125
North Andover	yes (towns)	yes	yes	\$110
\$100 fee (37 cities		1/06	VOC	\$100
Acton	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Acton Andover	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Bedford	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Belchertown	yes	yes	yes yes	\$100 \$100
Berkley	yes yes	yes yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Boston	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Boxborough	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Braintree	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Brewster	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Brimfield	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Cambridge	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
	, 63	, co	, , , ,	Ψ100

City/Town	Youth Access	Permits	Fee	Fee
	regulation	required	required	amount
Dartmouth	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Duxbury	yes	yes	yes	\$100
East Longmeadow	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Easthampton	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Fairhaven	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Fall River	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Framingham	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Hanover	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Hatfield	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Hopkinton	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Lawrence	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Milford	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Newburyport	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Newton	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Northampton	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Northbridge	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Norwell	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Provincetown	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Sandwich	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Wareham	yes	yes	yes	\$100
Watertown	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Westford	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Wilbraham	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Winchester	yes	yes	yes	\$100 \$100
Worcester	yes	yes	yes	\$100
\$75 fee (13 cities/tov	•	,03	,00	4100
Amesbury	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Chelsea	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Lynnfield	yes	yes	yes	\$75 \$75
Malden	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Marblehead	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Marshfield	yes	yes	yes	\$75 \$75
Medway	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Palmer	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Reading	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Revere	yes	yes	yes	\$75
South Hadley	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Tyngsborough	yes	yes	yes	\$75
Walpole	yes	yes	yes	\$75
\$60-55 fee (3 cities/t	•	,03	, 03	Ψ, 3
Georgetown	yes	yes	yes	\$60
Newbury	yes	yes	yes	\$60 \$60
Greenfield	yes	yes	yes	\$55
\$50 fee (60 cities/tov		,03	, 03	455
Ashland	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Auburn	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Barnstable	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
	, 65	, 65	, 05	Ψ50

			_	_
City/Town	Youth Access regulation	Permits required	Fee required	Fee amount
Belmont				
Beverly	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Blackstone	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Bourne	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Chelmsford	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Chicopee	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Cohasset	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Dalton	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Eastham	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Everett	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
	yes	yes	yes	•
Fitchburg	yes	yes	yes	\$50 ¢50
Great Barrington	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Groveland	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Halifax	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Holyoke	yes	yes	yes	\$50 \$50
Hull	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Leominster	yes	yes	yes	\$50 *50
Lowell	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Lynn	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Mashpee	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Melrose	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Mendon	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Middleton	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Millis	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Monterey	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Nantucket	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Natick	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Norfolk	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Orange	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Peabody	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Pittsfield	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Plainville	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Plymouth	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Randolph	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Raynham	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Rockland	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Rockport	no	yes	yes	\$50
Rowley	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Salem	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Saugus	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Scituate	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Somerset	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Somerville	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Southbridge	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Sturbridge	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Swampscott	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Tewksbury	yes	yes	yes	\$50

City/Taxwa	Varidi A	D		F
City/Town	Youth Access	Permits	Fee	Fee
_	regulation	required	required	amount
Truro	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Upton	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Wakefield	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Waltham	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Wellfleet	yes	yes	yes	\$50
West Bridgewater	yes	yes	yes	\$50
West Springfield	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Westfield	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Westport	yes	yes	yes	\$50
Woburn	yes	yes	yes	\$50
\$40-30 fee (11 cities/to	-			
Salisbury	yes	yes	yes	\$40
Dudley	yes	yes	yes	\$35
Montague	yes	yes	yes	\$35
Pembroke	yes	yes	yes	\$35
Webster	yes	yes	yes	\$35
Adams	yes	yes	yes	\$30
Avon	yes	yes	yes	\$30
Chatham	yes	yes	yes	\$30
Dedham	yes	yes	yes	\$30
Kingston	yes	yes	yes	\$30
Whitman	yes	yes	yes	\$30
\$25 fee (41 cities/towns	s)			
Athol	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Attleboro	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Billerica	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Burlington	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Carver	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Clinton	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Danvers	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Dracut	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Easton	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Essex	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Gardner	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Grafton	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Granby	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Hamilton	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Hanson	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Harwich	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Haverhill	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Hingham	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Holbrook	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Holden	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Hudson	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Lakeville	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Maynard	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Medfield	yes	yes	yes	\$25

City/Town	Vouble Access	Downite	Гоо	Гос
City/Town	Youth Access regulation	Permits required	Fee required	Fee amount
Managan				
Monson Nahant	yes	yes	yes	\$25
North Adams	yes	yes	yes	\$25
	yes	yes	yes	\$25
North Attleborough Norton	yes	yes	yes	\$25 \$25
Quincy	yes	yes	yes	\$25 \$25
Royalston	yes	yes	yes	\$25 \$25
Shrewsbury	yes	yes	yes	\$25 \$25
Southborough	yes	yes	yes	\$25 \$25
Spencer	yes yes	yes yes	yes yes	\$25 \$25
Taunton	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Topsfield	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Wenham	Yes	Yes	Yes	\$25
Westminster	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Winchendon	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Wrentham	yes	yes	yes	\$25
Yarmouth	yes	yes	yes	\$25
\$20 fee (13 cities/towns	•	ycs	ycs	Ψ23
Bellingham	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Canton	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Groton	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Merrimac	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Northborough	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Orleans	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Sherborn	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Stoughton	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Sunderland	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Townsend	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Uxbridge	yes	yes	yes	\$20
West Boylston	yes	yes	yes	\$20
Westborough	yes	yes	yes	\$20
\$15-10 fee (26 cities/tov	-	,	,	1 -
Manchester by the Sea	yes	yes	yes	\$15
Springfield	yes	yes	yes	\$15
Aquinnah	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Boylston	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Chilmark	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Edgartown	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Egremont	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Hardwick	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Lanesborough	yes	yes	no	\$10
Leicester	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Marion	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Millbury	yes	yes	yes	\$10
North Reading	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Norwood	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Oak Bluffs	yes	yes	yes	\$10
	<u> </u>	,	•	•

City/Town	Vouth Access	Downite	Foe	Foe
City/Town	Youth Access regulation	Permits required	Fee required	Fee amount
Ohio				
Otis	yes	yes	yes	\$10 \$10
Oxford	yes	yes	yes	\$10 ¢10
Pelham Princeton	no	no	yes	\$10
Rochester	Yes	Yes	yes	\$10
Rutland	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Southwick	yes	yes	yes	\$10 \$10
Swansea	yes	yes	yes	\$10 \$10
Tisbury	yes	yes	yes	\$10 \$10
Ware	yes	yes	yes	\$10 \$10
West Tisbury	yes	yes	yes	\$10 \$10
\$5-2 fee (17 cities/towns)	yes	yes	yes	\$10
Barre	yes	yes	yes	\$5
Brockton	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
Charlton	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
East Bridgewater	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
Hubbardston	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
Ipswich	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
Marlborough	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
Middleborough	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
New Braintree	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
Oakham	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
Paxton	yes	yes	yes	\$5 \$5
Petersham	yes	yes	yes	\$5
Phillipston	yes	yes	yes	\$5
Stow	yes	yes	yes	\$ 5
Whately	yes	yes	yes	\$ 5
Williamsburg	yes	yes	yes	\$ 5
Acushnet	yes	yes	yes	\$2
\$0 fee (15 cities/towns)	, 33	700	700	Τ-
Falmouth	yes	yes	yes	\$0
Hinsdale	yes	yes	yes	\$0
Mansfield	yes	yes	yes	\$0
Mattapoisett	yes	yes	yes	\$0
Tyringham	yes	yes	yes	\$0
Wayland	yes	yes	yes	\$0
Buckland	yes	yes	no	\$0
Deerfield	yes	yes	no	\$0
Gill	yes	yes	no	\$0
Heath	yes	yes	no	\$0
Medford	yes	yes	no	\$0
Richmond	yes	yes	no	\$0
Shelburne	yes	yes	no	\$0
Sudbury	no	yes	no	\$0
Weymouth	yes	yes	no	\$0
	•	•		•

City/Town	Youth Access regulation	Permits required	Fee required	Fee amount
Permit/Fee information	not available (89	cities/towr	ns)	
Agawam	yes	no	no	
Alford	no	no	no	
Ashburnham	no	no	no	
Ashby	no	no	no	
Ashfield	no	no	no	
Ayer	no	no	no	
Becket	no	no	no	
Berlin	no	no	no	
Bernardston	no	no	no	
Blandford	no	no	no	
Bolton	no	no	no	
Boxford	no	no	no	
Bridgewater	no	no	no	
Brookfield	no	no	no	
Carlisle	no	no	no	
Charlemont	no	no	no	
Cheshire	no	no	no	
Chester	no	no	no	
Chesterfield	no	no	no	
Clarksburg	no	no	no	
Colrain	no	no	no	
Conway	no	no	no	
Cummington	no	no	no	
Dennis	yes	no	no	
Dighton	no	no	no	
Douglas	no	no	no	
Dunstable	no	no	no	
East Brookfield	no	no	no	
Erving	no	no	no	
Florida	no	no	no	
Foxborough	no	no	no	
Franklin	no	no	no	
Freetown	no	no	no	
Goshen	no	no	no	
Gosnold	no	no	no	
Granville	no	no	no	
Hadley	no	no	no	
Hampden	no	no	no	
Hancock	no	no	no	
Harvard	no	no	no	
Hawley	no	no	no	
Holland	no	no	no	
Hopedale	no	no	no	
Huntington	no	no	no	
Lancaster	no	no	no	
Leverett	no	no	no	

City/Town	Youth Access	Permits	Fee	Fee
	regulation	required	required	amount
Leyden	no	no	no	
Lincoln	yes	yes	no	
Littleton	no	no	no	
Lunenburg	no	no	no	
Middlefield	no	no	no	
Millville	no	no	no	
Monroe	no	no	no	
Montgomery	no	no	no	
Mount Washington	no	no	no	
New Ashford	no	no	no	
New Marlborough	yes	no	no	
New Salem	no	no	no	
North Brookfield	no	no	no	
Northfield	no	no	no	
Pepperell	no	no	no	
Peru	no	no	no	
Plainfield	no	no	no	
Plympton	no	no	no	
Rehoboth	no	no	no	
Rowe	no	no	no	
Russell	no	no	no	
Sandisfield	yes	no	no	
Savoy	no	no	no	
Seekonk	yes	yes	no	
Sheffield	yes	no	no	
Shirley	no	no	no	
Shutesbury	no	no	no	
Sterling	no	no	no	
Sutton	no	no	no	
Tolland	no	no	no	
Wales	no	no	no	
Warren	no	no	no	
Warwick	no	no	no	
Washington	no	no	no	
Wendell	no	no	no	
West Brookfield	no	no	no	
West Newbury	no	no	no	
West Stockbridge	no	no	no	
Westhampton	no	no	no	
Weston	no	no	no	
Williamstown	no	no	no	
Windsor	no	no	no	
Winthrop	no	no	no	
Worthington	no	no	no	

This information is based on a survey of boards of health conducted by MTCP during the summer of 2007.

